arXiv:1703.03263v1 [math.DG] 9 Mar 2017

A TOPOLOGICAL LOWER BOUND FOR THE ENERGY OF A UNIT VECTOR FIELD ON A CLOSED EUCLIDEAN HYPERSURFACE

FABIANO G. B. BRITO, ICARO GONÇALVES, AND ADRIANA V. NICOLI

Abstract. For a unit vector field on a closed immersed Euclidean hypersurface, we exhibit a nontrivial lower bound for its energy which depends on the degree of the Gauss map of the hypersurface. We also introduce a list of functionals possessing similar properties regarding the degree of the immersion.

1. Introduction

Let M^m be a closed oriented Riemannian manifold, $m \geq 2$, and let ∇ denote its Levi-Civita connection. The energy of a unit vector field on M is defined (see [5] and [6]) as the energy of the map $\vec{v} : M \to T_1M$, where T_1M denotes the unit tangent bundle equipped with the Sasaki metric,

$$E(\vec{v}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M \|\nabla\vec{v}\|^2 + \frac{m}{2}\mathrm{vol}(M). \tag{1}$$

In [5], Wiegmink defines the total bending functional, a quantitative measure for the extent to which a unit vector field fails to be parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of a Riemannian manifold M. Precisely,

$$\mathcal{B}(\vec{v}) = \frac{1}{(m-1)\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)}\int_M \|\nabla\vec{v}\|^2,$$

and the energy of $\vec{v}$ may be written in terms of this functional as

$$E(\vec{v}) = \frac{(m-1)\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)}{2}\mathcal{B}(\vec{v}) + \frac{m}{2}\mathrm{vol}(M).$$

An important question is whether one can find unit vector fields such that the minimum of the above functional is attained. Brito [1] showed that Hopf flows are absolute minima of the functional $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathbb{S}^3$:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R25, 47H11, 58E20.

The second author was supported by CNPq, 141113/2013-8, Brasil.

Theorem 1 (Brito, [1]). *Hopf vector fields are the unique vector fields on* $\mathbb{S}^3$ *to minimize* $\mathcal{B}$.

Gluck and Ziller proved that Hopf flows are also the unit vector fields of minimum volume, with respect to the following definition of volume,

$$\mathrm{vol}(\vec{v}) = \int_M \sqrt{\det(I + (\nabla\vec{v})(\nabla\vec{v})^t)},$$

where I is the identity and $(\nabla\vec{v})^t$ represents adjoint operator.

Theorem 2 (Gluck Ziller, [3]). *The unit vector fields of minimum volume on* $\mathbb{S}^3$ *are precisely the Hopf vector fields, and no others.*

On the other hand, Reznikov compared this functional to the topology of an Euclidean hypersurface. Let M^{n+1} be a smooth closed oriented immersed hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$, endowed with the induced metric, and let $\mathcal{S} = \sup_{x\in M} \|S_x\| = \sup_{x\in M} |\lambda_i(x)|$, where S_x is the second fundamental operator in T_xM, and $\lambda_i(x)$ are the principal curvatures.

Theorem 3 (Reznikov, [4]). *For any unit vector field* $\vec{v}$ *on* M *we have*

$$\mathrm{vol}(\vec{v}) - \mathrm{vol}(M) \geq \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n+1})}{\mathcal{S}} |\deg(\nu)|,$$

where $\deg(\nu)$ *is the degree of the Gauss map* $\nu : M \to \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$.

Unless otherwise stated, M denotes a closed and immersed hypersurface of the Euclidean space.

Recently, Brito and Gonçalves [2] discovered a list of curvature integrals for M^{n+1},

$$\int_M \eta_k = \begin{cases} \deg(\nu)\binom{n/2}{k/2}\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n+1}), & \text{if } k \text{ and } n \text{ are even,} \\ 0, & \text{if } k \text{ or } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where the functions η_k for $0 \leq k \leq n$ depend on a unit vector field and on the second fundamental form of the immersion of M.

In this short note, we take an odd dimensional hypersurface M^{2n+1} and relate the energy of a unit vector field $\vec{v}$ to the topology of the immersion of M, by means of the integrals 2. Our main result reads

Theorem 4. *For a unit vector field on a closed oriented Euclidean hypersurface M^{2n+1},*

$$E(\vec{v}) \geq \frac{|\deg(\nu)|\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1})}{2(2n-1)S^{[2n-1]}} + \frac{2n+1}{2}\mathrm{vol}(M^{2n+1})$$

where $S^{[2n-1]}$ is a real number depending just on the immersion of M (its precise definition will be given later).

Although the inequality above is not sharp for Hopf flows v_H on S^3, canonically embedded in $\mathbb{R}^4$, $E(v_H) = \frac{5}{2}\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3)$, we demonstrate how their energy can be calculated directly from the definition of η_2. We also discuss the volume functional in the light of the functions η_k, and how these functions provide a lower value for a list of new functionals.

2. Curvature integrals for a closed hypersurface

We may assume that M^{2n+1} is oriented, so the normal map $\nu : M^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$, $\nu(x) = N(x)$, is well defined, where N is a unitary normal field. Let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be the induced Riemannian metric on M. Let $\vec{v} : M \to TM$ be a smooth unit vector field on M, and take the orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2n}, e_{2n+1} := \vec{v}\}$ at each point $x \in M$. We fix some notation: for $1 \leq A, B \leq 2n+1$, set $h_{AB} = \langle S(e_A), e_B\rangle$ and $a_{AB} = \langle \nabla_{e_B}\vec{v}, e_A\rangle$; it follows that $a_{2n+1\,B} = \langle \nabla_{e_B}\vec{v}, \vec{v}\rangle = 0$, for all B. For a real number $t > 0$, define $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}} : M^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}(\sqrt{1+t^2})$, by $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(x) = \nu(x) + t\vec{v}(x)$. With respect to the aforementioned basis of T_xM and setting $\left\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2n}, \frac{\vec{v}}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} - t\frac{N}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}\right\}$ as an orthonormal basis of $T_{\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(x)}\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}(\sqrt{1+t^2})$, we have that

$$d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} & & & h_{2n+1\,1} + ta_{1\,2n+1} \\ & h_{ij} + ta_{ij} & & \vdots \\ & & & h_{2n+1\,2n} + ta_{2n\,2n+1} \\ \hline \sqrt{1+t^2}h_{2n+1\,1} & \cdots & \sqrt{1+t^2}h_{2n+1\,2n} & \sqrt{1+t^2}h_{2n+1\,2n+1} \end{array}\right).$$

Multilinearity of determinant simplifies computations concerning an explicit formula for $\det(d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}})$ written in terms of the second fundamental form of M and components depending on

the normal bundle of $\vec{v}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\det((h_{AB})+t(a_{AB})) &= \sum_{\sigma}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\Big(h_{1\,\sigma(1)}\cdots h_{2n+1\,\sigma(2n+1)}\\ &+ t\sum_{i}h_{1\,\sigma(1)}\cdots a_{i\,\sigma(i)}\cdots h_{2n+1\,\sigma(2n+1)}\\ &+ t^2\sum_{i<j}h_{1\,\sigma(1)}\cdots a_{i\,\sigma(i)}\cdots a_{j\,\sigma(j)}\cdots h_{2n+1\,\sigma(2n+1)}\\ &\vdots\\ &+ t^{2n}a_{1\,\sigma(1)}\cdots a_{2n\,\sigma(2n)}h_{2n+1\,\sigma(2n+1)}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\det(d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}})=\sqrt{1+t^2}\sum_{k=0}^{2n}\eta_k t^k$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_0 &= \det(h_{AB})\\ \eta_1 &= \sum_{\sigma}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{i}h_{1\,\sigma(1)}\cdots a_{i\,\sigma(i)}\cdots h_{2n+1\,\sigma(2n+1)}\\ \eta_2 &= \sum_{\sigma}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\sum_{i<j}h_{1\,\sigma(1)}\cdots a_{i\,\sigma(i)}\cdots a_{j\,\sigma(j)}\cdots h_{2n+1\,\sigma(2n+1)}\\ &\vdots\\ \eta_{2n} &= \sum_{\sigma}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)a_{1\,\sigma(1)}\cdots a_{2n\,\sigma(2n)}h_{2n+1\,\sigma(2n+1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}$ and ν are homotopic, we have that $\deg(\varphi_t^{\vec{v}})=\deg(\nu)$. On the other hand, if $f:X\to Y$ is a smooth map between two manifolds of the same dimension, say m, then for any m-form ω on Y, the degree formula reads $\int_X f^*(\omega)=\deg(f)\int_Y\omega$. By change of variables we conclude that

$$\int_M\eta_k=\begin{cases}\deg(\nu)\binom{n}{k/2}\operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}), & \text{if } k \text{ is even},\\ 0, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd}.\end{cases}$$

3. Proof of the main theorem

We start our approach by defining a list of numbers regarding wedge products of the shape operator of M and their restriction to a list of vectors on a point.

Definition 1. *If $\{u_1, \dots, u_{2n+1}\}$ is an orthonormal basis at $x \in M$, then, for each $1 \leq A \leq 2n+1$,*

$$S^{[A]} = \sup_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_A \leq 2n+1;\ x \in M} \{\|S(u_{i_1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge S(u_{i_A})\|_\infty\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the maximum norm, naturally extended to $\Lambda^A(M)$.

We notice that $S^{[A]}$ is well defined since M is a compact hypersurface. With respect to $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}, \vec{v}\}$, the energy functional is written as

$$\begin{aligned} E(\vec{v}) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_M \|\nabla \vec{v}\|^2 + \frac{2n+1}{2}\mathrm{vol}(M) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_M \left(\sum_{i,B} a_{iB}^2\right) + \frac{2n+1}{2}\mathrm{vol}(M), \end{aligned}$$

this means that η_2 is the natural choice among all η_k in order to determine a lower value for $E(\vec{v})$. From equation 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \eta_2 &= \sum_\sigma \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{i<j} \int_M h_{1\,\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{i\,\sigma(i)} \cdots a_{j\,\sigma(j)} \cdots h_{2n+1\,\sigma(2n+1)} \\ &= n\,\deg(\nu)\mathrm{vol}(S^{2n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of (a_{AB}) and the fact that two of its entries appear in the above summation, we are able to display all 2×2 minors of (a_{AB}), times a minor depending on the second fundamental form matrix of M. Precisely,

$$\int_M \eta_2 = \int_M \left(\sum_{i,j,k,l} \begin{vmatrix} a_{ij} & a_{ik} \\ a_{lj} & a_{lk} \end{vmatrix} \det(\widehat{H}^{ij}_{lk}) + \sum_{i,j,l} \begin{vmatrix} a_{ij} & a_{j\,2n+1} \\ a_{lj} & a_{l\,2n+1} \end{vmatrix} \det(\widehat{H}^{i\,2n+1}_{l\,2n+1}) \right),$$

where $\widehat{H}^{ij}_{lk}$ is a $(2n-1) \times (2n-1)$ matrix which comes from (h_{AB}) by removing the i-th and l-th lines, and j-th and k-th columns. Applying definition 1, for every i, l, A, B, one has $\det(\widehat{H}^{iB}_{lB}) \leq S^{[2n-1]}$. Then,

$$\int_M \eta_2 \leq S^{[2n-1]} \int_M \left(\sum_{i,j,k,l} \begin{vmatrix} a_{ij} & a_{ik} \\ a_{lj} & a_{lk} \end{vmatrix} + \sum_{i,j,l} \begin{vmatrix} a_{ij} & a_{j\,2n+1} \\ a_{lj} & a_{l\,2n+1} \end{vmatrix} \right),$$

Definitions of a_{AB} and η_2 show us that each a_{ij} appears exactly $2n(2n-1)$. Then, employing the inequality $\left|\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}(a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2)$, we have

$$\int_M \eta_2 \leq n(2n-1)S^{[2n-1]}\int_M \left(\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2 + \sum_i a_{i\,2n+1}^2\right)$$

Therefore, from 1

$$E(\vec{v}) \geq \frac{\deg(\nu)\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1})}{2(2n-1)S^{[2n-1]}} + \frac{2n+1}{2}\mathrm{vol}(M)$$

4. Known results and a list of new functionals

This section is intended to show some direct consequences of equation 2. We start with a straightforward computation of the energy of Hopf vector fields on $\mathbb{S}^3$, providing the well known value, $\frac{5}{2}\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3)$, [5]. In addition, we show how the integral of the last invariant η_{2n} gives the main ingredient in the proof of theorem 3 from [4]. Finally, we discuss a list of "higher order" total bending functionals on Riemannian manifolds, and study their lower bounds on closed Euclidean hypersurfaces.

4.1. **Energy of Hopf vector fields on $\mathbb{S}^3$.** Now consider $M = \mathbb{S}^3$. We are interested in computing the energy of a Hopf vector field v_H. Firstly, $h_{32} = h_{31} = 0$ and $h_{33} = 1$, so equation 2 gives us

$$\deg(\nu)\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^3}\eta_2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^3}\sigma_2(v_H),$$

where $\sigma_2(v_H) = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = a_{12}^2$, since $a_{11} = a_{22} = 0$ and $a_{12} = -a_{21}$ for any Hopf vector field v_H. For the canonical immersion of $\mathbb{S}^3$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$, we have that $\deg(\nu) = 1$. On the other hand, by definition of E and the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^3}\sigma_3 = E(v_H) - \frac{3}{2}\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3),$$

we conclude that

$$E(v_H) = \frac{5}{2}\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^3),$$

as expected.

4.2. **Another view on theorem 3.** By definition, the last line of (a_{AB}) is zero ($\vec{v}$ is unitary), so we let m_A, $1 \leq A \leq 2n+1$, denote its minors. Thus, $\eta_{2n} = \sum_A (-1)^{A+1} h_{2n+1A} m_A$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_{2n}| &\leq \left(\sum_A h_{2n+1A}^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_A m_A^2\right)^{1/2} = \|S(\vec{v})\| \left(\sum_A m_A^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \mathcal{S} \left(\sum_A m_A^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \mathcal{S} \left(\sqrt{\det(I + (\nabla\vec{v})(\nabla\vec{v})^t)} - 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality, see for example Lemma 1 in [4]. The proof of the theorem is finished by integration over M.

4.3. **Higher order total bending functionals.** Let X be a unit vector field on a closed oriented Riemannian manifold M^m. For every $1 \leq r \leq m-1$, define

$$B_r(X) = \int_M \left(\sum_{i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_r} \|\nabla_{e_{i_1}} X \wedge \cdots \wedge \nabla_{e_{i_r}} X\|^2 \right) \tag{3}$$

The fact that X is unitary implies that $B_m(X) = 0$. The total bending relates to the first functional, $B_1(X) = (m-1)\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)\mathcal{B}(X)$, and all of them can be written as integrals of functions of minors from the matrix (a_{AB}),

$$B_r(X) = \int_M \left(\sum (\det a_{AB}(r))^2 \right),$$

where $\det a_{AB}(r)$ is a $r \times r$ minor. Every η function in section 2 is written as products of minors from the matrices (a_{AB}) and (h_{AB}), and employing definition 1, we have

Theorem 5. *If v is a unit vector field on a closed oriented Euclidean hypersurface M^{2n+1}, $1 \leq k \leq n$, then*

$$B_{2k}(\vec{v}) \geq \frac{|\deg(\nu)|}{S^{[2n+1-2k]}} \binom{n}{k} \mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}) \tag{4}$$

References

[1] F. G. B. Brito, Total bending of flows with mean curvature correction, *Diff. Geom. and its App.* **12** (2000) 157-163.

[2] F. Brito, I. Gonçalves, Degree of the Gauss map and curvature integrals for closed hypersurfaces, preprint: arXiv:1609.04670[math.DG] (2016).

[3] H. Gluck and W. Ziller, On the volume of a unit field on the three-sphere, *Comment Math. Helv.* **61** (1986) 177-192.

[4] A. G. Reznikov, Lower bounds on volumes of vector fields, *Arch. Math.* **58** (1992) 509-513.

[5] G. Wiegmink, Total bending of vector fields on Riemannian manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995) 325-344.

[6] C. M. Wood, On the energy of a unit vector field, *Geom. Dedicata* **64** (1997) 319-330.

Centro de Matemática, Computação e Cognição, Universidade Federal do ABC, 09.210-170 Santo André, Brazil

E-mail address: fabiano@ime.usp.br

Dpto. de Matemática, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, R. do Matão 1010, São Paulo-SP 05508-900, Brazil.

E-mail address: dri.nicoli@gmail.com

Dpto. de Matemática, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, R. do Matão 1010, São Paulo-SP 05508-900, Brazil.

E-mail address: icarog@ime.usp.br